

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,181	10/05/2001	Dusan Miljkovic	700.03-USI	3749
34284	7590 06/25/2004		EXAM	INER
ROBERT D. FISH; RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP			OH, TAYLOR V	
P.O. BOX 1950 611 ANTON BLVD., 14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	COSTA MESA, CA 92628-1950			

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A . I' (6)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/972,181	MILJKOVIC, DUSAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
•	action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,14 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 6,9-13,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/5/2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1625

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## The Status of Claims

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 7-8, 14, and 17-20 are rejected.

Claims 6, 9-13, and 15-16 are objected.

Claims 6, 9-13, and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7-8, 14,17, 18-19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the terms "an oxidizable compound", "an electrophilic compound", "an electron donating group", "a complex", and "a second stability towards the oxidation" are recited. They are vague and indefinite because the claims do not define what each of them is with respect to its chemical structure

Art Unit: 1625

and its functional group; and furthermore, it is uncertain as to how they are arranged with respect to their spatial arrangement among the electrophilic compound and the electron donating group by forming the complex. Moreover, the phrase "a second stability towards the oxidation" is unclear because there is no description in the claim as to how the first stability is different from the second stability. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 2, the phrase "R' and R" optionally comprise" is recited.

The expression is vague and indefinite because "R' and R" optionally comprise" would mean that there are other additional components besides the only R' and R" group. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 3, the phrases "the oxidizable compound further comprises" and " a first electron donating group and a second electron donating group" are recited. The expressions are vague and indefinite. This is because "the oxidizable compound further comprises" would mean that there are other additional components besides the only oxidizable compound; furthermore, there is uncertain as to what they are respectively and which the electron donating group is the first one relative to the second electron donating group in the structurally unknown oxidizable compound. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 4, the phrases "the electron donating group and a second electron donating group" are recited. The expressions are vague and indefinite. This is because there is uncertain as to which the electron donating group is

Art Unit: 1625

the first one relative to the second electron donating group in the structurally unknown oxidizable compound. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 5, the phrases "the electron donating group" and "the oxidizable compound" are recited. The expressions are vague and indefinite. This is because there is uncertain as to which the electron donating group is and what the structurally unknown oxidizable compound is. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 7, the phrase "the electron-donating group comprises" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because "the electron-donating group comprises" would mean that there are other additional components besides the only the electron-donating group. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claims 8, 19, and 20, the phrase "the electrophilic compound comprises" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because "the electrophilic compound comprises" would mean that there are other additional components besides the only "the electrophilic compound. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 14, the phrase "the oxidation comprises a reaction of an alcohol group in the oxidizable compound into a keto group in an aqueous system" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because "the oxidation" is the

Art Unit: 1625

reaction process defined by oxidizing the alcohol group of the oxidizable compound into the keto group in the aqueous system. The term "comprises" implies other oxidation steps or procedures besides the only oxidation process.

In claim 17, the terms "a compound", "an oxidizable compound", "an electrophilic compound", "an electron donating group", and "a complex" are recited. They are vague and indefinite because the claims do not define what each of them is with respect to its chemical structure and its functional group; and furthermore, it is uncertain as to how they are arranged with respect to their spatial arrangement among the electrophilic compound and the electron donating group by forming the complex. Moreover, the phrase "a second stability is greater than the first stability" is unclear because there is no description in the claim as to how much the second stability is greater than the first stability. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 18, the phrases "the electron donating group and a second electron donating group" are recited. The expressions are vague and indefinite. This is because there is uncertain as to what they are respectively and which the electron donating group is the first one relative to the second electron donating group in the structurally unknown oxidizable compound. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mckane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

m/6/22/54

BA K. TRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200 / 6 1/5